

State Epidemiology Outcomes Workgroup

9-11 a.m. DSAMH Rm. 314

Members in Attendance: Ed Ho, Angela Smart, Tricia Winder, Brenda Ahlemann, Craig PoVey, Steve Harrison, Susannah Burt, Verne Larsen, Jeff Smart

Members Excused: Christy Porucznik, Barbara Sullivan

Minutes

Welcome & Introductions

> Craig welcomed all and introductions were made.

Agenda Item: Review of Minutes

Motion: Angela Smart motioned to approve the minutes from 11/2/06. Verne Larsen seconded the motion.

Agenda Item: SEOW Maryland Meeting- Binders available/online

➤ If you haven't received a binder, there are several still available.

Agenda Item: Reports – Monthly Charter

➤ All reports are up to date.

Agenda Item: Contract Update

- > RFP for a consultant is out and will close on December 13, 2006.
- ➤ The Health Dept contract is finished.
- ➤ The RFP for SPF Evaluator is in process.

Agenda Item: State Epi Profile

- ➤ The **DRAFT** is due December 15, 2006.
- ➤ Ed and Christy compiled Utah data into a matrix and several tables.
- ➤ Ed and Christy proposed that the matrix act as the table of contents for the DRAFT Epi Profile.
- The tables will show U.S. and Utah data, and the areas where Utah stands out.
- ➤ Ed and Christy are trying to come up with a few rules regarding when detailed indicator description pages will contain more than one indicator.
- ➤ If you have any expertise in tractability, proximity, relationship, etc. please let Christy and Ed know, as to improve the tables.

- Eventually, Ed would like to have a ranking indicator for each county or district (perhaps other demographics as useful).
- We need to have balance between the length of the report and its usefulness.
- ➤ We hope to make this data available online eventually.
- ➤ How should we organize indicators in report? Christy suggested by substance and then severity.
- An index can be included in the back if the indicator list becomes too long in order to allow an alphabetical search of indicators.
- ➤ It was suggested that the workgroup discuss the different ways of showing data, in order to identify need and level of risk.

Suggestions:

- > Definition of terms on tables
 - o Proximity time lag between use and consequence
 - o Tractability How easy is to change
- > Steve suggested changing/substituting the terms to be more readable (tractability to ability to change).
- > Craig- priority for SPF should be high relationship and short proximity
- Terminology needs to be consistent (i.e. high, strong, distant, etc.)
- ➤ 12th grade alcohol use indicators check to make sure is entered correct.
- > Double check drug use indicators sheet data.
- Craig suggested that the Px Coordinators meet with the SEOW on February 7, 2007 before their Network meeting the following day.

Action Items:

- 1. Look at the indicator list and identify additional consequences of consumption that should be included.
- 2. Let Ed and Christy know if there are other dimensions that should be added to the tables.
- 3. Look at graphs for suggestions/additions of items that should be included. We need this to be user friendly.

Agenda Item: SEDS Database

Please take a look at this site if you have not already done so.

Next Meeting: Thursday, January 4, 2007, 9-11am DSAMH Rm. 314